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We express symbolically the concept of maximal commitment. The heroes of the Chanukah story were zealots who did not compromise on principles and who risked their lives for Torah.
In the News

Rabbi Yonah Reiss appointed Dean of Rabbi Isaac Elchanan Theological Seminary

Rabbi Yona Reiss, a noted Torah scholar, attorney, and jurist who has served since 1998 as director of the Beth Din of America, the largest rabbinical court in the United States, has been appointed dean of Yeshiva University’s affiliated Rabbi Isaac Elchanan Theological Seminary. RIETS is one of the leading centers for Torah learning and training for the rabbinate in the world.

Rabbi Reiss’ appointment was announced on August 23rd by RIETS President Richard M. Joel and the chairman of the RIETS Board of Trustees, Rabbi Julius Berman. The appointment is effective July 1, 2008.

Rabbi Dr. Shlomo F. Rybak, President of RIETS Rabbinic Alumni, is very excited about the appointment. “Rabbi Reiss is an excellent role model for our students because he has achieved prominence in his secular field and yet, he has decided to continue devoting himself to avodas Hakodesh to the benefit of Klal Yisrael.”

The President’s selection of Rabbi Reiss was made in close consultation with Rabbi Zevulun Charlop, the Max and Marion Grill Dean of RIETS, and Rabbi Norman Lamm, Chancellor of YU and Rosh Hayeshiva (Head of the Yeshiva) of RIETS. He received the approbation of the RIETS Board at a special meeting held August 22.

“The Yeshiva is the soul of Yeshiva University. In Rabbi Yona Reiss, we have a leader who will nurture that soul, and advance Torah study and protect Torah values,” said President Joel, who is also President of Yeshiva University. “His integrity, intellect, warmth, and humility will inform his work as he partners with an outstanding rabbinic faculty, to shape the educational direction for the school. There are enormous opportunities and needs for our community, which our students must be poised to lead.”

President Joel took special note of Rabbi Reiss’ academic pedigree. He is a summa cum laude graduate of Yeshiva College, YU’s undergraduate liberal arts and sciences college for men, and went on to receive his law degree from Yale Law School, where he was senior editor of the Law Journal. He received his rabbinic ordination from RIETS, where he also earned the distinction of Yadin Yadin, an advanced juridical ordination.

“Rabbi Reiss’ appointment was also strongly endorsed by Rabbi Lamm: “This is a marvelous appointment at this point in RIETS’ history. He has a great range of erudition, a broad spectrum of interests, is totally committed to the vision of RIETS, and is a firm believer in Torah Umadda.”

Rabbi Reiss was also mentored by Rabbi Brander, Dean of the Yeshiva University Center for the Jewish Future, who is gratified to have been a mentor to Rabbi Reiss during his student days at the seminary. “His most important character trait is his integrity, which is known and respected throughout the Orthodox community. Moreover, while he lives in two worlds, the secular and the sacred, he is anchored in the yeshiva.”

Rabbi Reiss said he takes “pride in our wonderful yeshiva that has been guided with such love and devotion for so many decades by Rabbi Charlop. I hope in my tenure to ensure that we continue to maintain our standard of excellence in a fashion that both maximizes the potential of each of our students and serves the multifarious needs of our community, both locally and globally.”

Rabbi Reiss’ appointment was announced on August 23rd by RIETS President Richard M. Joel and the chairman of the RIETS Board of Trustees, Rabbi Julius Berman. The appointment is effective July 1, 2008.

Educational Partnership to Effect Positive Change in the World of Chinuch

The Azrieli Graduate School of Jewish Education and Administration proudly announces the formation of a new initiative this past summer, which will be housed in its offices. The Institute for Educational Partnership and Applied Research is geared toward students, educators, lay leaders, and schools. It will offer enhanced professional training, promote new educational technologies, and carry out applied research available to all member institutions. Dr. Scott Goldberg, Director of the Fanya Gottesfeld Heller Division of Doctoral Studies at Azrieli and a noted researcher and educational consultant who has worked with hundreds of schools worldwide, will serve as Director of the Institute.

The Institute will work closely with Yeshiva University’s Center for the Jewish Future (CJF), in general, and its programs in specific, such as the Torah Learning Network (TLN), Eimatai, Achshav, the school and community kollelim, and the Global Learning Initiative. In addition, educational technologies will be made available.
In the News

Two Chief Rabbis in Two Days
Rabbi Shlomo Amar Visits Yeshiva University

Both the Wilf and Beren campuses of Yeshiva University were abuzz with excitement when the Sephardic Chief Rabbi of Israel, Rabbi Shlomo Amar, visited on October 15 during his recent trip to the United States. He was in the country to meet with the Rabbinic Council of America and the Orthodox Union.

“We were very honored by Rabbi Amar’s visit—it was recognition of the increasingly important role that YU plays in the United States’ relationship with Israel,” said Rabbi Kenneth Brander, dean of the Center for the Jewish Future, who helped organize the visit. “He got to see for himself that at the heart of YU is a strong yeshiva with serious Talmud scholars and a high level of learning.”

Rabbi Amar was received by President Richard M. Joel; Rabbi Brander; Rabbi Hillel Davis, Vice President for University Life; Rabbi Zevulun Charlop, the Max and Marion Grill Dean of RIETS; and Rabbis Meir Goldwicht, Rabbi Ben Chaim, and Rabbi Hershel Schachter, roshes yeshiva [professors of Talmud]. They gave him an overview of the history of YU and the university and the Chief Rabbinate.

After delivering divrei bracha to students from Yeshiva University High School for Boys, the Chief Rabbi gave a shiur [lesson] on the topic of simchat ha’aretz, the Sabbath year in which Jews are not allowed to work the land, and the parashat hashavua [Torah portion of the week]. It was standing room only as Rabbi Amar spoke for almost two hours to the more than 450 students and roshes yeshiva packed into the bet midrash [study hall] on the Wilf Campus.

After lunch with the roshes yeshiva, Rabbi Amar met with President Joel and others to speak about how YU can act as a resource to bridge the gap between Israel and the Diaspora.

During a meeting with student leaders he spoke about the importance of their leadership and offered the group words of encouragement about their service to the community, before davening mincha with Sephardic students on campus.

Rabbi Amar made a stop at the Beren Campus for a meeting with Stern College for Women students—the first time a chief rabbi has met with students at Stern. The student body was excited to hear from such an important figure, said Rena Wiesen, president of Stern College’s Student Council. “It was an incredible opportunity to hear someone of his stature speak to students in an intimate setting,” said Wiesen, a senior.

“He even took questions from us at the end.”

Medical Ethics Conference at Yeshiva University

Recent medical developments have given rise to revolutionary ways of treatment for infertility, yet many of the methods are fraught with halakhic (Jewish legal) complexities. “Partners in Creation: Fertility, Modern Medicine, and Jewish Law,” the second annual conference organized by Yeshiva University’s Student Medical Ethics Society, examined these technological advances in treating fertility from both a medical and a halakhic perspective.

The conference attracted 500 people, including young couples, doctors, rabbinic scholars, students, and members of the Jewish community, and was also broadcast to audiences in Boca Raton, Montreal, and Jerusalem. Rabbi David and Anita Fuld, noted philanthropists who have a special interest in the accuracy of halakhic and scientific information that reaches the community, sponsored the conference.

Aaron Kogut, a Yeshiva College senior, along with Stern College for Women senior Chani Schonbrun, the society’s co-presidents, and Yo’nah Bardos, its executive director and a YC senior and rabbinical student, organized the event. Founded in 2005 by Bardos and a group of YU students as a special project of the Center for the Jewish Future, the society runs lectures and large-scale events at the university, as well as organizes genetic testing to combat the high incidence of genetic diseases in the Jewish community.

Chief Rabbi of England Sir Jonathan Sacks delivered the keynote address, focusing on the intersection of science and Torah and the delicacy required in handling the powers of new technology in medicine. “The test of civilization,” Rabbi Sacks said, “is not just what it can do but what it chooses, for ethical reasons, no to do. God created the world in six days and rested on the seventh. There are limits to creation and we must remember those limits.”

Rabbi Dr. Edward Reichman, associate professor of clinical emergency medicine at YU’s Albert Einstein College of Medicine, presented a brief history of infertility in Jewish law and laid the groundwork for the issues that would be addressed throughout the course of the day.

Dr. Richard Grazi, director of the Division of Reproductive Technology at Maimonides Medical Center, and Rabbi Kenneth Brander, dean of the Center for the Jewish Future, gave the second plenary, taking turns discussing the basics of treating infertility. Dr. Grazi dispelled some common myths about infertility and described possible complications and procedures regarding infertility. Rabbi Brander explained the halakhic concerns associated with those procedures and also stressed that the “gift of science and helping to treat infertility speaks to our ability to be junior partners with God. Halakhah (Jewish law) celebrates scientific opportunities to realize the couple’s interest of having a family.”

Nine breakout sessions covered topics such as egg donation, pre-implantation genetic diagnosis, halakhic infertility, and the psychological effects of infertility. The participants reconvened for the final session on new frontiers within fertility technology, featuring experts such as Dr. Susan Lobel, founder of Metropolitan Reproductive Medicine; Rabbi Menachem Burshtein, founder and director of Machon Puah in Jerusalem (whose talk in Hebrew was translated for the audience by two UN translators); Rabbi Herschel Schachter, rosh kollel at RIETS; Marcos Adina Katz Kollel (institute for advanced rabbinic study); and Rabbi Gideon Weitzman, head of the English Speaking Section of the Puah Institute and visiting associate professor at Einstein.
Halakhah in the Land of the Rising Sun: Challenges and Strategies
Rabbi Dr. Dovid Horwitz

Last summer, I served as the rabbinic advisor to the students of the Schottenstein Honors Program at Yeshiva College, as they embarked upon a twelve day visit to Japan. The stay in Japan was an unforgettable experience. It was a non-stop whirlwind of visits to museums, Japanese financial institutions, a Japanese professional baseball game, a sumo wrestling club, Kabuki Theater, beautiful gardens, and much more. I gave a daily shiur on the fourth peraq of Berakhot, and as an added leitmotif I compared the Bavi and Jerusalmi’s positions on various topics therein. We were in Japan the day of the yahrzeit of Ha-Gaon Ha-Rav R. David Lifshitz, zatzal, and that day I dedicated my shiur to his memory. My duties entailed more than that of a Rosh Yeshiva/maggid shiur, however. We had to deal with numerous halakhic issues that occurred during our stay. Here is a brief synopsis of some of them.

The International Date Line and Shabbat in Japan

Japan’s territory consists of a series of islands, all located off the east coast of the Eurasian landmass. Tokyo’s coordinates are approx. 35 degrees, 40 minutes N latitude, and 139 degrees 45 minutes E (of Greenwich) longitude. Jerusalem’s coordinates are 31 degrees, 47 minutes N latitude, longitude, and 35 degrees, 13 minutes E (of Greenwich) longitude. Standard time in Tokyo and Kyototo, the two cities where we stayed, is 14 hours later than Eastern Standard Time (New York), 9 hours later than Greenwich, England, and 7 hours later than standard time in Jerusalem. According to worldwide convention, of course, Japan is situated in the “Far East.” If one travels to Japan from the United States going west, as we did, one would cross the International Date Line, and “jump” 24 hours.

Where is the Halakhic International Date Line? We cannot offer a full treatment here, but I will attempt a brief consideration of the issues. Assuming that the center of the world for purposes of time calculation is Jerusalem, and assuming that the world only consists of 180 degrees of inhabited land, R. Yehudah Ha-Levi in his work Kuzari (Maamor Sheni, Peraq Kaph) and the Ba’al Ha-Ma’or in his commentary to Masekhet Rosh Ha-Shanah (20b) both conclude that it is a line 90 degrees east of Jerusalem. (That is, it is approximately, 125 degrees east of Greenwich) Hence, any part of East Asia east of 90 degrees east of Jerusalem, is halakhically not the “Far East” but the “Far West!” (A simple version of Kuzari’s shiitah can be found in the works of R. Shlomo Yosef Zevin, who discussed the Kuzari’s position, as well as subsequent halakhic controversies on the matter, in his book La-Or Ha-Halakhah.) The Hazon Ish adds the stipulation that any area connected by land to any area less than 90 degrees East of Jerusalem should still be considered the “Far East.” (Kamchatka, in the Far Eastern part of Russia, for example, would be considered the “Far East” even though it is more than 90 degrees east of Jerusalem.) But this distinction does not pertain to the Japanese islands, so in any event they would still be the “Far West.” The upshot of this view is that the day that people in Japan consider Shabbat is halakhically, really Friday, and the day that people in Japan consider Sunday is really Shabbat!!

Many rishonim disputed the position of the Kuzari. R. Isaac Yisrael, for example, the fourteenth century author of the Sefer Ye’sod Olam, pointed out that the Eurasian landmass extends 90 degrees beyond 24 degrees east of Jerusalem. It would be absurd, he also argued, to have a point on land where on one side the day would be Sunday and on the other side, Monday, twenty four hours later. Carrying such reasoning further, many authorities maintained that the halakhic International Date Line is a line 180 degrees east of Jerusalem. Hence, Japan would still be considered the Far East. Consequently, the “minug ha-maqom” of the Japanese people regarding the day that they consider as Shabbat would accord, in his view, with the halakhic reality. In the 1600’s, R. David Ganz of Prague, writing in the generation after the Maharal, in his work Nehmad ve-Na ‘im, pointed out that the discovery of America and the concomitant fact that the land is full of people, poses a great problem for the shiitah of the Kuzari and the Ba’al Ha-Ma’or, whose position seems to be connected to the (now disroven) premise that the earth only contains 180 degrees of inhabited land. For now that one can no longer claim that only 180 degrees of land on earth is inhabited, one can consequently no longer maintain that land east of 90 degrees east of Jerusalem is automatically defined halakhically as “not min ha-yishuv” (not inhabited)! (The question whether the difficult sugya of nolad qedem hatzot (Rosh Ha-Shanah 20b) can serve as a rationale for Kuzari and Ba’al Ha-Ma’or in any event; in other words, whether one can maintain that the discovery of America was irrelevant for this issue, which indeed was the view of the Hazon Ish, will not be discussed here. There certainly is a large number of rishonim (Rashi, Tosafot, Rabad [in the course of his hasagot on Ba’al Ha-Ma’or] and others) who dispute the interpretation of Kuzari and Ba’al Ha-Ma’or to the sugya of nolad qedem hatzot in Rosh ha-Shanah. See Encyclopedia Talmudit, vol. 22, sx. Yom, column 402.)

During World War II, R. Yehiel Michel Tukichinsky, along with many other Jewish rabbinic authorities, who were faced with the question of Shabbat for refugees who were in Kobe, Japan, disputed the Hazon Ish’s position quite strongly. R. Tukichinsky, who wrote a monograph called Ha-Yomanim on the topic, claimed that the International Date line should consist of a line that is 180 degrees east of Jerusalem. Accordingly, he maintained that the refugees in Japan should observe the day of Shabbat that the Japanese felt was Saturday. (This issue had ramifications, of course, for Yom Kippur as well.) At the time (1941), the Rav Ha-Rashit in Jerusalem convened a meeting, the result of which was as follows: Without taking a definitive position where the halakhic International Date Line is (for example, whether it should be 180 degrees East of Jerusalem, or 114 degrees east of Jerusalem), the refugees in Japan should not take the Kuzari position into account, and should observe halakhah according to the local Japanese calendar. Hence, Saturday in Japan would be Shabbat, and not Sunday. Yom Kippur should be observed on Wednesday, and not Thursday, as the Hazon Ish maintained. R. Menachem Mendel Kasher, for his part, in his book...
Qav Ha-Ta’arikh Ha-Yisraeli, went even further and claimed that there is no halakhic notion of an International Date Line at all, and since the Japanese people defined their land as being situated in the Far East and not the Far West, halakhah should reflect that fact as well.

At the end of the day, after all the theoretical issues were hashed and rehashed, we had to make a decision how to proceed. In spite of all the difficulties in the Hazon Ish’s position, how could one simply disregard his view? On the other hand, we couldn’t become paralyzed due to the situation! After much consultation with different Rabbanim (including one who was in the Far East with the Mir yeshiva in Japan during World War Two, and told me that many Yeshiva b’halom then and there were hoshesh for the Hazon Ish’s view, but only for dinim de-oraita.), I concluded that “Shabbat in Japan will be our Shabbat. On Saturday night and on Sunday we will be mahmir like shitat ha-Ramban (that is, consider it Friday night and Shabbat morning) for dinim de-oraita (only).” The determination whether something is de-oraita or derabanan will be according to the consensus of posekim.

Implementing these decisions into our actual schedule would serve to be quite an interesting challenge. Our first problem was to find someone who would act as a “Sunday goy.” (Since anira la-Akum on Shabbat is only assur mi-derabanan, he could perform any melakhtot de-oraita, and allow us to have a fuller schedule on Sunday.) We were lucky to have Dr. William Lambert Lee, professor of English literature at Yeshiva College, who directed the Schottenstein Honors program at Yeshiva College, accompany us on the trip. He graciously agreed to serve as the “Sunday goy.” Thus, after Maariv on Saturday night, he lit his Zeppo lighter and used it as the "Sunday goy." Thus, after Maariv on Saturday night, he lit his Zeppo lighter and used it as the “esh” for our havdalah service.

Our group of 16 students included three students from Sephardic background. This posed an interesting problem on Sunday, as we were considering a trip outside of Tokyo, and the question of ikhun Shabbat came into play. Rambam’s view is that there is an issur tehumim min ha-Torah. (In Sefer Ha-Mitzvot, lo tushekh #321, he seems to claim that even tehumim of 2000 amah is min Ha-Torah. In Mishneh Torah, Hilkhot Shabbat, 27:1, he retreats from that extreme position and writes that only tehumim of yod bet mil is min ha-Torah.) Rambam, in his haseker (ad loc.) on Sefer Ha-Mitzvot, strongly disputes Rambam’s view; (in his Hiddushim to Eruvin he also quarrels with the Rif and Rabab for espousing such a view) and writes that he does not care that there is a passage in the Yerushalmi that supports the contention that even according to hakhamim, tehumim is min ha-Torah. The clear assumption of numerous passages in the Bavi, he concludes, is that according to all hakhamim (with the exception of R. Aqiva), the entire notion of tehumim — even of yod bet mil—is only miderabanan. Since the consensus of Ashkenazic posekim is also this way, there would be no problem for them on Sunday to “get on the train and get out of town.” But the Bet Yosef, who follows shitat ha-Rambam, and concludes that tehumim of yod bet mil is assur de-oraita, there would be a problem. According to our guidelines, the Sephardic students would not be allowed to travel too far outside the city. Now, we did not want to “split up the group” mi-lechatchila. In any event, we decided not to leave Tokyo on Sunday.

We did, however, come up with a parallel problem. The Bet Yosef, Orach Hayyim, siman 345, presents the major mahloqet rishonim regarding the definition of reshit ha-Rabim. Rashi (Eruvin 6a and 59a), Ba’alei ha-Tosafot, the Semag, Semaq, the Rosh, his son the Tur; indeed, the overwhelming consensus of Ashkenazic rishonim maintain that one needs a congregation of 600,000 people to designate an area as Reshit ha-Rabim. Only if one carries in such an area would one violate hattza’ah min ha-Torah. On the other hand, Rambam, (Perek yod daleh, Hilkhot Shabbat). The Ramban, Rashba, Ran, Rivash, and a whole host of Sephardic rishonim maintain that an area is designated as reshit ha-Rabim exclusively based upon the width of its boulevards (16 amah), and there is no requirement of 600,000 people passing through.

On Sunday I ruled that the Ashkenazic students certainly could carry in any area not designated as Reshit ha-Rabim according to Rashi and the other authorities who follow his view. But our group contained the aforementioned three Sephardic students! They certainly could not disregard the more stringent position le-halakha'h of the Bet Yosef. Moreover, the large and noisy shopping district we were now planning to go to on Sunday, although not as famous as the heavily populated Ginza district, by all accounts seemed to be a safeq shishim ribo! (Actually, the dispute between the late R. Moshe Feinstein, zatzal and others about whether one measures shishim ribo as a “point” through which 600,000 people pass through is a “box” of 12 mil times 12 mil was germane. I thought we should be mahmir as per R. Moshe’s position, especially as we had Dr. Lee’s kind services in any event.)

Once again, Dr. Lee rode to the rescue. He carried the students’ wallets for the entire duration of time that we were in an area that was safeq reshit ha-Rabim. Only after we were safely inside the Japanese equivalent of Bloomingdale’s did we retrieve our wallets. Before we left the store, we gave him our wallets again. (Maqah u-menkar inside the store per se and tilul maqarah, were not problems for us, as those issurim are only miderabanan. We could not sign our signatures for purchases via credit cards ke-derekh ketivah, however.) Dr. Lee even carried articles that we had bought in several large knapsacks that he had prepared for the situation.

Returning by subway to the (relatively secluded)
The Miracle of Nature: A Chanukah Message

Rabbi Moshe D. Tendler

It is too easy to rationalize the miracle of the military victory of the Chashmonaim. Recall the miracle of the victory in the Six Day War. How soon the Nes becomes Godless Teva! No miracle! The expertise of the Israeli pilots who practiced low-level bombing; the Egyptian air force happened to be massed wing-tip to wing-tip waiting to be destroyed, and nature took its course. Only we kept the miracle of Mashgiach Min HaChalonos alive by blurring the boundary line of Nes and Teva declaring the miracle of victory.

This is the ongoing challenge. How to teach a new generation the lesson of Yomar LaShemen SheYadlik! In this age of secularism we must discern the Yad HaShem as he peeks from behind the curtain of HaShem as he peeks from behind the curtain of Hester Panim.

The Chasam Sofer responds to the age old question why our sages declared eight days of celebration of the miracle of the oil when there was enough oil for one day — if the Menorah were lit in the Beis HaMikdash.

But they kindled the flame outside, BeChatzros Kodshos. Indeed there was enough oil for one day — if the Menorah were lit in the Beis HaMikdash.

On Chanukah the veil of nature was lifted momentarily to allow us to glimpse HaShem who ordained natural laws. We celebrate the Nes Pach Shemen to remind us to be “observant” Jews and discover the hand of HaShem in all our affairs.

Rarely will HaShem reveal his presence with a Yad Chazakah UZerohah Nafshah, rather we must perceive the slight movement of the window curtain to become aware of the One who is Mashgiach Min HaChalonos Meitzitz Min HaCharakim.

Lo Nitna Lichtov; no need to record the miracle of the oil in writing. Torah SheBeal Peh is fully adequate to keep the memory alive. What our sages did ordain, to be recited thrice daily Masarta Gibborim BeYad Chalashim, Rabim BeYad Matimim, Temitim BeYad Tehorim UReshamim BeYad Tzaddikim.

Surely then we will be rewarded KaAsher Asa Nissim L’Avoseinu YaAshe Lenu BiZman HaZeh.
The Gemara, in Shabbos 21b, outlines three different ways one may perform the mitzvah of ner Chanuka: the basic mitzvah requires one light each night for every household (ner ish u’baiso); those who beautify mitzvos (mehadrin) kindle one light on each night for each and every member of their household; and those who wish to beautify the mitzvah to the fullest extent (mehadrin min hamehadrin) kindle a different number of lights on each night. Beis Shamai maintain that it is best to kindle eight lights on the first night, and progressively reduce the number of lights for each successive night (pechos v’holach), while Beis Hillel hold that one should kindle one light on the first night and add an extra light for each successive night (moaf v’holach). The minhag is to follow the position of Beis Hillel, as is noted in the Shulchan Aruch (Orach Chayim 671:2).

How many lights should the mehadrin min hamehadrin kindle if there is more than one person in the household? This is a matter of debate among the Rishonim. Tosafos (ad locum, ss vehamehadrin) claim that the level of mehadrin min hamehadrin could not possibly be a double embellishment of the primary mitzvah (namely to kindle lights for each member of the household as well as for the night of Chanuka) because if one were to light in such a fashion it would not be recognizable that the lighting corresponds to the night of Chanuka, since a passery looking from the street might think that the lighting reflects only the number of people in the household. If, for example, there are four people in the house, and they light twelve candles on the third night of Chanuka, it would not be clear to the passerby that it is the third night of Chanuka, because it might just as well be the fourth night of Chanuka and three people live in the house, or it might be the first night of Chanuka and twelve people live in the house.

We must conclude, the Ba’alei Tosafos write, that mehadrin min hamehadrin is not adding to the level of mehadrin. Rather, it is embellishing the basic mitzvah of ner ish u’baiso. In other words, both the level of mehadrin and that of mehadrin min hamehadrin are simply different ways to beautify the primary mitzvah. The reason mehadrin min hamehadrin is considered a superior hidur of the mitzvah is because by lighting a different number of candles each night of Chanuka, we highlight the miracle of the jug of oil which lasted for eight nights. Since the whole purpose of the mitzvah of ner Chanuka is to publicize this miracle (pirsumei nisa), any method of lighting which emphasizes the miracle is certainly preferable.

The Rambam, in Hilchos Chanuka (4:1-3), takes a different approach to the concept of mehadrin min hamehadrin. He understands that the level of mehadrin min hamehadrin builds on both the basic mitzvah and the level of mehadrin. According to the Rambam, if there are four people living in a house and they wish to follow the practice of mehadrin min hamehadrin, then on the third night of Chanuka they will light twelve candles, corresponding both to the members of the household and the third night of Chanuka. Apparently, the Rambam was not troubled with the concern of Tosafos that such a double embellishment of the primary mitzvah would not be recognizable to the passerby. Therefore, he felt it would be better to combine both types of hidur in the lighting of the ner Chanuka.

In codifying this halacha, the poskim do something surprising. Rav Yosef Karo, the accepted posek of the Sephardim, rules in the Shulchan Aruch (Orach Chayim 671:2) like the Ba’alei Tosafos who were Ashkenazim, while the Ramo (ad locum), the posek for Ashkenazim, seems to follow the approach of the Rambam, a Sephardi. The Taz (671:1) was already troubled by this phenomenon, and he comments that indeed it is quite rare.

But what’s even more striking is that although the Ramo seems to decide in favor of the Rambam, he disagrees with him on one detail. From the language of the Rambam it seems clear that while mehadrin min hamehadrin does involve lighting for every member of the household, nevertheless only one individual kindles all of the lights for the entire household. The Ramo, on the other hand, writes explicitly that each member of the household should light for himself. Why does the Ramo modify the approach of the Rambam if he seems to accept it as normative halacha?

Two Types of Pirsumei Nisa

A group of Acharonim suggests that perhaps the Ramo does not poseken like the Rambam at all. Rather, he also accepts the position of the Ba’alei Tosafos. But he understood that even the Ba’alei Tosafos never meant to say that those who wish to be mehadrin min hamehadrin may never light candles for each member of the household. They simply meant that when the mitzvah of ner Chanuka was originally established, it would have been inappropriate for the mehadrin min hamehadrin to light for both the night of Chanuka and for all the members of the household. But as circumstances changed, the audience for the mitzvah of ner Chanuka changed as well, and as a result, the concept of mehadrin min hamehadrin took on a different character.

This idea is based on a braisa quoted by the Gemara, in Shabbos 21b. The braisa states:

The ner Chanuka should preferably be placed outside the entrance to one’s home. If one lives above the ground floor, he places the ner Chanuka in the window facing the public thoroughfare (reshus harabim). And at a time of danger (when non-Jews will persecute those who light neros Chanuka), it is sufficient to leave the ner Chanuka on one’s table.

At first glance, this statement seems puzzling. If the mitzvah of ner Chanuka requires the publicizing of the miracle of the jug of oil, how can one fulfill his obligation by lighting on a table inside his home? No passery will see the Chanuka lights! Apparently, Chazal understood that the audience for the pirsumei nisa of ner Chanuka changes based on the circumstances. When there is no danger to light neros Chanuka publicly, one must light in a way which allows Jewish people walking in the street to view the neros. But when there is a danger to light publicly, then it is sufficient to publicize the miracle of Chanuka to the members of one’s household.
This can help explain the ruling of the Ramo. When the mitzvah of ner Chanuka was first established, there was no danger to light at the entrance to one's home. That is why the Baalei Tosafos were concerned that if the mehadrin min hamahadron would light neiros for each member of the household as well as for the particular night of Chanuka, it would not be recognizable to the passersby that they are lighting for the night of Chanuka, because people in the street might not know how many individuals live in the house. But after it became dangerous to light publicly, and people began to light inside, the audience for the pirsumei nisa of ner Chanuka changed.

As the Irvaisa implies, during a time of danger, the mitzvah of ner Chanuka requires that we publicize the miracle of Chanuka not to people passing in the street, but to the other members of the household. As such, even the Baalei Tosafos would agree that there is no longer a concern that if we light more candles it will not be clear that the candles correspond both to the members of the household and the night of Chanuka, because those who live in the house certainly know how many other people live in the house; so even if they arrive after the lighting, they will easily be able to calculate which night of Chanuka it is. What's more, since we light inside, and not at the entrance to the home, there is no need to place all of the candles in one spot. Rather, each member of the household can light in a different location (or on a different menorah). This, as well, can help prevent the confusion that might result from placing all the neiros right at the entrance to the home.

Perhaps this is why the Ramo ruled that it is proper to light neiros Chanuka for each member of the household because during his time the prevailing custom was to light inside, and even the Baalei Tosafos would agree under such circumstances that the level of mehadrin min hamahadron should be a double embellishment of the primary mitzvah. In fact, the Ramo himself, in his commentary to the Tur (Darkei Moshe, 671:1), cites precisely this notion from the Maharah MiPrague. It would seem quite reasonable that the Ramo, in his glosses to the Shulchan Aruch, would follow an approach that he himself mentions in his earlier commentary to the Tur.

The Beis HaLevi adds that this analysis can help answer the other question we raised on the Ramo as well. When the mitzvah of ner Chanuka was originally established, all of the candles had to be lit at the entrance to the home. Therefore, even according to the Rambam, there was no reason to have each individual light his own candles. Since the passersby would not be able to recognize that the candles belong to different members of the household, it would be illogical to classify each person's candles as a separate mitzvah. That is perhaps why the Rambam writes that one individual should light all of the candles, because for a group mitzvah it makes sense to have one person represent the entire group.

Now that we light inside, however, even the Baalei Tosafos would agree that the mehadrin min hamahadron should have neiros for each member of the household. But as the Ramo notes, it is preferable to light these additional candles in different parts of the home, or on different menoros, to insure that the pirsumei nisa remains clear. Perhaps this is why the Ramo maintains that each individual should light his own set of candles, because since we light in different locations, each set of candles must be viewed as an independent unit. In other words, according to the Ramo, the mehadrin min hamahadron are not joining the other members of the household in their lighting; rather, they are beautifying the mitzvah by lighting separately, and thereby adding additional units of neiros Chanuka to the home. For this reason, there is no advantage to having one individual light all of the candles. Although the members of the household could certainly appoint one person as a shlich to light all the candles for them, since each set of candles is an independent mitzvah, it is better for each individual to light his own candles (mitzvah be yoser mi bishulacha).

How does this pack of the Ramo apply to Jews living in the 21st century? Thankfully, in many places in America, and certainly in Erets Yisrael, there is no danger to light neiros Chanuka publicly. Should we return to the original practice of lighting outside or not? The Shibolei HaLeket (Hil. Chanuka, sec. 185) writes in the name of the Sefer Halitur that many have continued the custom to light inside even though it is no longer dangerous to light outside.

But the Or Zarua (vol. 2, Hil. Chanuka, sec. 323) questions this practice, and the Chazon Ish and the Brisker Rav are quoted as having agreed with the Or Zarua. They felt that the takanah that Chazal enacted to permit the lighting of neiros Chanuka inside one's home was a special dispensation granted during a time of danger. But when there is no danger to light outside, one may not rely on this leniency even b'diavad. Indeed, many people nowadays light neiros Chanuka at the entrance to their homes. Others place their neiros in the window so that passersby can see them.

If we assume that the Ramo accepted the position of the Baalei Tosafo, but he simply presented their approach for those lighting inside the home, then Ashkenazim who light nowadays in the window or outside their homes should be sensitive to uphold the stringency of the Baalei Tosafo for those lighting outside the home. In other words, they should be careful to ensure that people in the street can recognize how many members of the household are lighting, so that it will be clear which night of Chanuka it is. This could be accomplished either by leaving only one menorah in the window (and placing the other menoros elsewhere in the home), or by separating sufficiently between the menoros so that each one stands out as a distinct entity.

**When to Light Neiros Chanuka**

The idea that the parameters of the mitzvah of ner Chanuka can change depending on the circumstances is relevant to another halacha as well. The Gemara says, in Shabbos 21b:

> The mitzvah is from when the sun sets until the passersby disappear from the market. Does that mean that if the ner was extinguished during this time, he is obligated to relight it (kavsa zakak la)? No, it means that if he did not light as of yet, he may still light during this time; or alternatively, it means that he must put enough fuel in the ner so that it can last this amount of time.

The Rishonim argue as to what the phrase “when the sun sets” (mishtishka hachama) means. The Mordechai (Hagahos ad locum, no. 555) understands that it refers to the “end” of sunset, meaning the time when the stars emerge (itzas hakakehavin). This is also the position of the Tur and the Shulchan Aruch (672:1). But other Rishonim, as well as the Vilna Gaon, claim that it refers to the “beginning” of sunset.

Whatever position we accept for when the mitzvah of ner Chanuka begins, it does seem clear from the Gemara (at least according to the first answer) that after “the passersby disappear from the market” one may no longer fulfill the mitzvah. This is, in fact, the position of the Rambam (Hil. Chanuka, 4:5) and the Vilna Gaon (Ma’aseh Rav, no. 236). Most Rishonim, however, assume that if b’diavad a person did not light until after the time of tichle regel min hasuk, he may still light. After all, if the second answer of the Gemara argues with the first, then according to the second answer, it would seem that the mitzvah could be fulfilled any time before daybreak.

Tosafos (ad locum, sv. dec) cites a third opinion in the name of the Ri. He claims that since nowadays we light inside, and the pirsumei nisa is for the members of the household, even l’shatshila one may light after there is no longer anyone walking in the street. Since nowadays, the people inside the home are the audience for the mitzvah of ner Chanuka, we do not have to take the schedule of the passersby into account.

This position might lead to a chumra as well. If nowadays the members of the household are the audience for pirsumei nisa, then one would not be able to light neiros Chanuka if the members of the household are not home, or if they are already sleeping. Indeed, the Magen Avraham (672:6) maintains that if the members of the household are sleeping, one may not light with a bracha. The Mishna Berurah (no. 11) writes that according to this position, if...
one comes home late and everyone is sleeping, he should wake up a few people in order to fulfill the mitzvah properly.

But in the Shär HaTziyun (no. 17), the Mishna Berurah quotes the Chemed Moshe who claims that even if one cannot wake those who are sleeping, bideved he may still light with a bracha, because *pirsumei nisa* is not absolutely necessary to fulfill the mitzvah of ner Chanuka. Rav Moshe Feinstein (Iggeros Moshe, vol. 4, 105:7) sides with the Chemed Moshe, and he adds that even if *pirsumei nisa* is absolutely necessary, there still is no need to wake anyone, because one can have *pirsumei nisa* by bringing people in from the street to view the neiros. Rav Moshe argues that when the Rishonim write that nowadays the members of the household are the audience for the *pirsumei nisa* of ner Chanuka, they mean it as a leniency, that it is not necessary to publicize the miracle to people walking outside. But they do not mean to say that only the members of the household may serve as the audience for *pirsumei nisa*.

**How Long Must the Neiros Burn?**

The second answer of the Gemara, in Shabbos 21b, is that when the braisa said that the mitzvah of ner Chanuka may be fulfilled during the period of time from sunset until *tichle regel min hashuk*, it meant to clarify not when the mitzvah should begin, but how long the *ner* should burn. As the Rif (9b) and the Rosh (no. 3) explain, this is approximately half an hour.

Many have noted that this time period as well was not meant to be a fixed standard. Rather, it can change based on the circumstances. The Rambam writes, in Hilchos Chanuka (4:5), that this time is approximately a half hour “or more” (oh yesser). What did the Rambam mean by adding this extra phrase? The Mishna Berurah, in the Biur Halacha, writes, in Hilchos Chanuka (4:5), that this time period as well was meant to be a leniency, that it is not necessary to have *pirsumei nisa* by bringing people in from the street to view the neiros.

**Lighting in Shul**

The Tur (671:7) quotes the minhag to light neiros Chanuka in a Beis Haknesses. This minhag seems perplexing. After all, from the Gemara it would appear that the mitzvah of ner Chanuka is associated only with a private home (*ner ish ubaiso*). How then did the minhag develop to light neiros Chanuka in shul? The Beis Yosef (ad locum) cites the Kol Bo (no. 44) who claims that Chazal instituted lighting in shul so as to allow those who do not have their own homes, but who eat and sleep in shul, to fulfill the mitzvah of ner Chanuka. This is similar to the takanah of reciting Kiddush in shul on Friday night for those who live and eat in the shul.

But the Raviv (no. 111) suggests that perhaps this minhag developed after it became dangerous to light outside and people began to light neiros Chanuka inside the home. Once it was no longer possible to publicize the miracle of Chanuka to the fullest extent, Chazal instituted the lighting of neiros Chanuka in shul to allow for a public display of the miracle of Chanuka. This shows how central the concept of *pirsumei nisa* is to the mitzvah of ner Chanuka, that even after people began to light inside, Chazal still tried to find a way to publicize the miracle of Chanuka to the broadest possible audience.

**End Notes**

1. See Biur HaGra (Orach Chayim 671:4) who suggests an interesting solution to this problem.

2. The psak of the Shulchan Aruch is less problematic because the Rambam himself (Hil. Chanuka 4:3) admits that the minhag in Sepharad was to light candles corresponding only to the nights of Chanuka and not the members of the household. But that only strengthens the question on the Ramo. If the Sephardim themselves accept the position of the Baalei Tosafos, then why does the Ramo pasken like the Rambam?

3. See Aruch HaShulchan (671:7-8) and Beis HaLevi (Kuntres Chanuka, p. 60-61). The Vilna Gaon, in Biur HaGra (671:5), seems to be alluding to the same idea.

4. Most Acharonim maintain that there is no mitzvah to publicize the miracle of Chanuka to non-jews. R. Moshe Feinstein z”l claims, in Iggeros Moshe (O.C. vol. 4, 105:7), that this is the clear implication of a comment the Shiltei HaGiborim (Shabbos 10a in Rif, no. 1) cites in the name of the Ritza. The Mishna Berurah, in Sha’ar HaTziyun (672:17), draws a similar conclusion. See also R. Moshe Shternbach, in Meamid U’Zemanim (vol. 2, p. 82), who cites those who attempt to prove that there is a mitzvah to publicize the miracle even to non-jews, but he rejects such a notion out of hand.

5. Ibid (note 3).

6. Other Acharonim explain the dispute between the Rambam and the Ramo differently. See Chiddoshei Maran Riz HaLevi on the Rambam (Hil. Chanuka 4:1), Kuntres Chanuka U’Megillah of R. Turchin (no. 8) and Moisheh Ya’akov (no. 74).

7. See Minchas Yitzchak (vol. 6, no. 66) and Shalmei Todah (no. 15, sec. 1) who give various reasons for this custom.

8. See Shalmei Todah, ibid.

9. See Mishna Berurah (671:38) and Iggeros Moshe (O.C. vol. 4, no. 125).

10. See Mishna Berurah (672:1) and Shär HaTziyun (ad locum, no. 1-2).

11. See also Iggeros Moshe (vol. 4, 101:6) who rules that it is best to light ten minutes after sunset, but to place enough oil in the cup so that it can burn for a half hour after treis hakolchavim.

12. See Tosafos (ad locum, ss. deee), Rashba (ss. ha), and others.

13. See Shalmei Todah (no. 3, sec. 1) who points out that the language of the Orchos Chaim and the Kol Bo (‘or a little more’) sounds more like the Mishna Berurah’s explanation.

14. The Hagados Maimoni, Hilchos Chanuka (4:11), cites the Ra’aya who goes to other extreme. He claims that for those who light inside, the time of *tichle regel min hashuk* is not until the members of the household go to sleep. Therefore, the neiros would have to remain burning as long as any member of the household is still awake.

14. See also Darkei Moshe (672:1) who cites a similar dispute between the Maharash Austreich and Rabbenu Shimshon.
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area where Azabu Court, our hotel/hostel was located, Dr. Lee announced, "Ashkenazim, you may now retrieve your wallets; Sephardim, I will hold on to them until we get to the actual courtyard of the hotel (a halakhic karmelit)."

Reflecting upon our Shabbat/Sunday experience, I thought of the Ramban’s notion that Zakkor et Yon ha-Shabbat (Exodus 20:8) is a mitzvah that one fulfills everyday of the week (e.g., when one recites the shir shel yom prayer). In a unique way, our challenges on Sunday enhanced our subjective awareness of Shabbat itself, where we are careful not only not to transgress dinae de-orata but dinaei derabanan as well, and we have the additional directive of the Ramban not to be, God forbid, a naval be-reishut ha-Torah on Shabbat. Indeed, the beautiful teffilot and meals, accompanied with heartfelt zemirot by the group (and a "kumsitz" on Friday night), demonstrated that (even in Japan), one can not only make sure to fulfill the letter of the law according to different hilkhot le-halakhah, but one can truly rise to the occasion and create for oneself a sublime religious experience on Shabbat anywhere in the world.

Kashrut in Kyoto

In Kyoto (where we stayed for two nights) we faced an interesting dilemma. As there was no Chabad House, if we wanted to eat anything besides the food that we personally brought from Tokyo (or from the U.S.), we would have to go to the ‘100% vegetarian’ Buddhist restaurant (No fish of any type was served in these establishments either). But how can one be sure that the Buddhist laws of ‘100% vegetarian’ did not contain any animal emulsifiers or other ingredients from (non-kosher) animals? Are equivalent to our halakhic karmelit rules, we could assume that they were telling the truth. So we took our shoes off, sat down on our tatami mats and ordered.

Another problem was bishul akum. Although there was no problem with the various soy products and soups that were offered, rice posed a problem. According to the Gemara in Masechet Aboda Zarah (38a), any food that is classified as "enu elohi al shidhan melakhini" is not subsumed under the rubric of bishul akum. Now, le-halakhah the customs of each country determine whether or not in that country a particular food is "olih al shidhan melakhini" and hence is prohibited because of bishul akum. In Japan, everyone eats rice, from the poorest peasant to Emperor Akihito. The Emperor might have fancy dishes in which he would eat the food, but the staple remains the same. I ruled that unless we could remove the bishul akum problem, we simply could not eat any rice product. With the help of our Japanese translator, I had to request to the proprietors of the restaurant that I turn on the oven in which our hoped for rice dish would be prepared. In one place I was successful. In the other, however, we arrived too late and the proprietors of the establishment did not want to start a new batch of rice just for us. In this other restaurant, we had to rely on 25 or so different types of soy. (Thankfully, it least in my view, they were quite tasty.)

Temples, Gardens, and “Submitting to the Will of the Almighty”

It may come as surprise to many that historians and philosophers of religion do not classify Buddhism as a polytheistic religion. (This is in contradistinction to the Shinto faith, which is generally recognized to assume the existence of thousands upon thousands of deities.) The Buddha himself was originally conceived as one who has attained enlightenment, (perhaps analogous to our midrashic/kabalistic notions about Hanokh (=Enoch), or those concerning Elijah.) But the Buddha is not a deity. Thus, a Buddhist temple is technically not a place of aboda zarah to a foreign god. But acts of foreign worship in any event certainly take place in the Buddhist Temples. Thus, it would certainly be asur to enter the Temples. (I thought that there would be an additional matter of marat ayin here. How many Jews, after all, know that technically worship of the Buddha is not considered worship of a foreign god? Moreover, as we learned in a lecture on the topic, the “amecha” of Buddhism certainly, over the course of time, began to pray to Buddha to help them achieve their various goals in life. This notion certainly violates the fifth of the thirteen principles of Judaism, as formulated by the Rambam. A temple that is designated to be such a place is certainly a “temple of aboda zarah!”

On the other hand, I concluded that the beautiful gardens, outside the Temples, owned by the Buddhists, did not pose any problem. The only matter which theoretically could have made things difficult would be if there was any “netiyah lia-shmah” regarding the various flowers and plants. That is, if the gardens were planted for purposes of worship of the Buddha, there would be a question of asherah, which would thereby make prohibited even walking around the gardens. I did not find any evidence that this was the case. The gardens certainly make one feel serene. And I can assume that Buddhists themselves feel that they can achieve some more enlightenment from leisurely dalliances or sojourns in their gardens. But essentially, the gardens seem to have been planted for aesthetic purposes. Thus, we passed through several of them. (After strolling through the gardens, I thought of Arthur Lovejoy’s essay, “On the Origin of a Chinese Romanticism,” published in his book Essays in the History of Ideas, which I read as an undergraduate at Yeshiva College over 25 years ago. I never dreamed at the time that one day I would actually pass through Japanese gardens … in Japan.)

Halakhah is full of borderline cases. Indeed, as Maran Ha-Rav Joseph B. Soloveitchik zatzal pointed out, so much of halakhah is a question of handbreadths, and the like. As the Rav once put it, “When you reach the boundary line, you must submit to the will of the Almighty.” In Japan we faced such a borderline case, one that demonstrated the chasm that can exist between halakhic Jews and others, even well-meaning and sincere individuals, who simply cannot fathom the halakhic way of thinking.

The issue considered was whether we could “pass through a Temple” in order to get to a garden on the other side. (There was apparently, no other way to get to this magnificent garden.) I decided that
Profiles In Action

RABBI RAFAEL EIS ’05R
STERN HEBREW HS, BALA CYNWYD, PA

I just started teaching in Stern Hebrew High School in Philadelphia and it is my first full time job post smicha. Teaching limudei kodesh and inspiring the Jewish community has been a passion of mine since my high school days in NCSY. I have always been overwhelmed by the massive amount of Jewish Education that is needed in contemporary Jewish society. Figuring out how to apply that dream proved challenging. Throughout my smicha years, numerous rabbinim and communal figures have described the insufficient number Modern Orthodox rabbinic figures outside the New York area. In response, I desired work outside New York, but I was anxious since I was born and raised in the New York area. I also went back and forth between chinuch and the rabbinate, with each providing unique arenas for education. To play it safe I received a MS from Azriel in Jewish Education and I also interned in Lincoln Square Synagogue. I started leaning toward chinuch after teaching night seder in Yeshivat Harav in Englewood and it is a dream to work with this extraordinary staff.

Stern Hebrew High School provided me an opportunity not just in chinuch, but also in adult-ed. This year, part of my responsibilities in Stern is to coordinate Stern Hebrew High School’s Chelkeimu program, a program that also partners with YU, which aims to bring the learning of Stern Hebrew High School out to the larger Philadelphia community. The school will arrange numerous shiurim in Philadelphia, Cherry Hill, and Lower Merion, to create shared learning opportunities for the school’s parents and children. Other attractions of Stern Hebrew High School were its participation in Avi Chai’s mentoring program for new teachers and its small class sizes. The mentoring program creates time in my schedule for professional on the job mentoring. The small class sizes allow for a more relaxed classroom environment and for more personal attention.

The icing on the cake is that I also get to work with my wife, Atara, who teaches limudei kodesh at Stern Hebrew High School as well.

RABBI NOAM WEINBERG ’02R
MORIAH SCHOOL, ENGLEWOOD, NJ

Throughout the different stages in my life, I have utilized my talents as a means to furthering my own interests. Areas such as comedy, acting, and music were always part and parcel of my dreams and where I saw myself. As I matured and decided to dedicate my life to bringing Jewish youth close to G-d, I started to use my talents in such a way that I made Torah and Judaism exciting and alive.

After several years of teaching, I felt that learning about and getting a degree in school administration would only help to further my quest to educate our next generation of Jewish youth on a global level. And so, after four years as the Assistant Principal in The Hebrew Academy of Long Beach, I have become the Associate Principal of The Moriah School. Moriah is the largest Orthodox day school in New Jersey and one of the largest in North America. Its mission is defined by a strong commitment to Torah U’Maadah and Medinat Yisroel.

Working with teachers in areas of curriculum development and general supervision and development allows me, together with this extraordinary staff, to see a vision of spiritual growth come to fruition. As for the students, running Oneg, Shabbatonim, kumsitzes and leadership programs allows me to see to it that the goals we implement in the classroom are followed throughout the classroom, as well.

The bottom line for me is: what can I do as an educator that will help our young generation connect to Hashem in a meaningful way that speaks to them as individuals? Nothing is too out of the box for me, as long as it is Lesheim Shomayim. The Kotzker Rebbe was once asked, “Where can G-d be found?” His answer, so profound and so deep, was, “Where one lets Him in.” As an educator, it is my job to teach today’s youth and tomorrow’s leaders, that G-d can be found anywhere and everywhere as long as they are prepared and eager to let Him in.

We simply could not do so. Since one who enters a Buddhist temple, even if he is only temporarily ‘passing through,’ must take his shoes off for the ‘purification ceremony,’ the act of taking off the shoes would be tantamount to performing an act of Aboda Zarah. Consequently, we concluded that it was certainly prohibited even to ‘pass through’ a Buddhist temple in order to reach a veranda on the other side. This caused a certain amount of tension between the group and a professor from another university who accompanied us on our Japanese journey, a recognized expert in the field of Japanese art history, who possessed a positive attitude towards Jews and Judaism, but simply could not understand the boundary lines we erected. Of course, the fact that others do not understand our way of life does not release us from our obligations to maintain our halakhic traditions.

Japanese have a well-worn tradition of a travel diary, in which one, over the course of an excursion, learns some deep and profound truth about oneself, and writes about it. In that vein, I would like to conclude with the following personal reflections.

We are all aware of the tension in Judaism between Jewish universalism and Jewish parochialism, the dichotomy that the Rav, among others, depicted so powerfully. I expected, accordingly, the trip to Japan to reinforce my ‘universalist’ side. It would show, I thought, reinforce my view that so many of the acrimonious disputes that exist today within the Modern Orthodox/ Centrist Orthodox/ Yeshivish/haredi community, arguments full of rancor and vituperation, are just many examples of what Freud called “the narcissism of small differences.” In Japan, after all, when the native population looks at Jews, it does not distinguish between any of these subgroups.

Moreover, and much more fundamentally, however, I expected that the trip would enhance my self-identification, at least with regard to part of my being, as a ‘citizen of the world.’ Then, I thought, after the trip I would go back to YU and resume my career, once again highlighting my particularistic side.

Yet Hashem works in mysterious ways. After the confrontation regarding the garden that could only be reached by ‘passing through’ the Buddhist temple, and after the beautiful Friday night zemirot we sang in Tokyo, I realized that I was actually in the midst of learning another, unexpected lesson. The trip to Japan was a wonderful reinforcement of davena my parochial, particularistic, side! Before the trip, I thought of the prayer of R. Nehuniah ben HaQanah that I should not be, God forbid, nikkshal in any halakah. After the trip, and again, as I write these words, I thank the Ribono shel Olam for giving me the opportunity to more deeply experience my pride at being an observant Jew and trying to observe all of His commands - wherever I might be.
The Scene From Yeshiva

Medical Ethics Conference at Yeshiva University

Clockwise from left: Rabbi Dr. Zalman Levine '94R; Rabbi Kenneth Brander '86R; Rabbi Dr. Edward Reichman '97R; Rabbi Aaron Levitt '05R and Dr. David Pelcovitz; Rabbi Yaakov Neuberger '79R.

Rishon LeTzion Rabbi Shlomo Amar Visits Yeshiva University

Clockwise from top left: President Richard Joel, CJF Dean Rabbi Kenneth Brander and RIETS faculty escort the Rishon LeTzion; Rav Amar with Rosh Yeshiva Rabbi Aaron Kahn '69R; Rav Amar with Rabbi Brander and Rabbi Dr. Herbert C. Dobrinsky '57R, Vice President for University Affairs; Rav Amar with Rosh Yeshiva Rabbi Hershel Reichman; Rav Amar delivering shiur in the presence of YU and RIETS leadership; Rav Amar greeting Rabbi Moshe Tessone '05R YU Director of Sephardic Community Activities, and Rabbi Dr. Elie Abadie '90R Director of YU’s Jacob E. Safra Institute of Sephardic Studies.
Musmakhim in the Limelight

Al Tikrah Banayich, Elah

Bonayich

INSIDE THE WORLD OF JEWISH FUNDRAISING AND PHILANTHROPY

The Ashkenazic custom of chanukah gelt, according to many, can be attributed to support for Torah institutions. The word Chanukah shares a root with chinuch, and the connection between the festival and Torah education is clear. Hemdat Yamim advances that the children would distribute coins and gifts to their m’lamdim. Rabbi Jacob Joseph of Polnoye relates that the leading rabbis would make the rounds during Chanukah with the purpose of strengthening Torah education; collecting money to properly compensate the teachers became a secondary goal of these trips. Chassidic stories spoke of Chanukah gelt tours. Chabad’s custom promotes Chanukah gelt distribution to the children every night of Chanukah. Chazal have warned us, im ein kemach, ein Torah (Avot 3:17). In this edition of CHAVRUSA, we highlight two musmakhim who assure that the shidduch between Torah and sustenance remains a long and successful marriage.

MY YESHIVA IS THE BEST YESHIVA

Rabbi Dr. Herbert C. Dobrinsky

CHAVRUSA: How did you involve yourself in advancement?

RDHD: I arrived at Talmudical Academy for high school at the age of 15. My mother personally brought me to New York from my hometown of Montreal; my parents spent their last $900 to get me to Yeshiva, and I was obviously in need of significant scholarship assistance which I did receive. However, the Yeshiva soon entered into a financial slump which necessitated a cut in scholarship aid across the board. My scholarship was to be diminished by $200. I went to the late beloved Dean Samuel L. Sar z’l – the Dean of Men whose assignment included handling foreign students (Canadians were in that category) and I told him that I would have to return to Montreal, as my parents were not financially able to provide the additional sum. He encouraged me to speak to Dr. Belkin, then president of YU, about my plight. He immediately made me feel comfortable with his warm smile and gracious manner. He asked me if I liked my experience at Yeshiva; I said that I did. He asked if I was enjoying learning which I answered in the affirmative. That being the case, I should not be concerned about my ability to remain in the yeshiva. That concern would be his, not mine. I should return to class reassured that I could remain at Yeshiva without imposing impossible financial demands on my parents. The next morning I was called from my shiur on the 2nd floor of (now) Zysman Hall to the telephone booth on the first floor near the staircase. I was answering a call to me from Montreal from Rabbi Frankel who had two sons at Yeshiva himself. He was the YU roving fundraiser to the outlying communities. Rabbi Frankel told me that he was in Montreal in the office of Mr. Joel Sternthal, which was where Canadian Friends of YU was hosted, and that Mr. Sternthal himself would provide me with my scholarship at Yeshiva for as long as I wished to remain there and continue my studies at YU. He indicated that Dr. Belkin himself would provide me with my scholarship at Yeshiva for as long as I wished to remain there and continue my studies at YU. He indicated that Dr. Belkin was very concerned that I may have to leave due to financial reasons and that I should be reassured that that should never be a concern of mine. I was overwhelmed that the president of YU became so personally involved in the plight of one of its many students and had taken the time and effort to reassure me about my ability to remain here. I immediately told Rabbi Frankel to thank Mr. Sternthal – whose son – Normal Sternthal – is today a member of the YC Board of Directors and an officer of Canadian Friends of YU, carrying on his father’s heritage and himself a YU Guardian – and I looked forward to thanking him personally when I went home for Pesach, which I did.

Now in his 40th year of service to Yeshiva University, Rabbi Dr. Herbert C. Dobrinsky, graduated Yeshiva College in 1955, received semikkah in 1957, was awarded an M.S. in education in 1959 and received his Ed.D in 1980. He served as Rabbi of the Beth Israel Synagogue in Halifax, Nova Scotia, from 1958 through 1962. Since that time, he has held a variety of leadership positions within Yeshiva University and its RIETS culminating with his appointment as Vice President for University Affairs in September 1980. He is the author the 525 page Selected Laws and Customs of Sephardic Jewry, along with dozens of articles and papers.

Rabbi Joshua Leokstein served as interim rabbi of Congregation Agudath Shalem in Stamford, CT, and Assistant Rabbi of Kehilath Jochnuys (KJ) on Manhattan’s East Side and taught at RAMAZ. He currently serves as Executive Director of the S. Daniel Abraham Foundation.
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In college I majored in French but then decided to pursue the rabbinate. While enrolled at RIETS, I was heavily involved with youth work and served as the Jewish Education Principal of the Flushing Jewish Center. Upon completion of RIETS, I accepted a rabbinic position at Beth Israel Synagogue, in Halifax, Nova Scotia, where I served for five years. At the ripe age of 24, I had to fix some of the halachic issues with regard to the synagogue itself and the mikvah. While in Halifax, I had a dream to develop a day school. I hired a staff, brought in someone from Israel and one from Vancouver. During my tenure, some people became shomrei shabbos. Those young people are today the leaders of that shul. Ultimately, I was disappointed that I could not develop a day school; there were only 1500 Jewish souls in Halifax and people just did not want their kids in a separate school system. This was not New York; it was Halifax.

I was invited back to YU in 1962 by Rabbi Morris H. Finer z’l; they needed someone with a background in Jewish education and youth work. I had developed the Queens Synagogue Youth Commission, comprising 20 synagogues. We sponsored intramural basketball and other activities at YU before going to Halifax. I developed the Bnai Hillel Honor Society – an idea of the late Morris Benathan z’l – spiritual progenitor of the concept. In its first year of operation, we had 1,000 kids participating, including future YU president Richard M. Joel. We created TLS (Torah Leadership Seminars) for younger kids in Talmud Torahs (ages 11-13 year old) to come to the YU mechina or to go to afternoon Hebrew high schools in their areas. We ran shabbatonim at least twice a month.

While all this was happening in the realm of youth, Dr. Belkin asked me to begin working to attract Sephardic students to Yeshiva. The New York Times reported that the Sephardic tradition and culture was on the ‘brink of extinction’ in the United States. Rabbi Dr. Solomon Gaon z’l, Chief Rabbi of the Sephardic Jews of the British Commonwealth, came to the United States on a visit; Dr. Belkin asked me to escort him to the Sephardic Jewish Center of Queens to help solve a problem there. Dr. Gaon, originally from Yugoslavia, spoke a multiplicity of languages, including German and Yiddish. Dr. Belkin asked him if he would consider being a professor of Sephardic studies at Yeshiva. He agreed to visit YU one month per year, while I was assigned to develop a Sephardic Studies Program under his tutelage. Dr. Gaon became my mentor and my ‘brother.’

At that time, I was busily engaged in my work in the Community Service Division (CSD). I was the Jewish Educational liaison to the Board of Jewish Education, and I put together Camp Morasha with other rabbinic and lay leaders. Dr. Belkin asked me to hire a young musmakh to take over my responsibilities with the Bnai Hillel Honor Society and directed me to begin a Sephardic studies program at Yeshiva, informing me that The Haham agreed to visit one month a year in the program. I thus became a “Sephardi by assignment.” We worked together as brothers. We traveled the length and breadth of North America to find Sephardic students, so their heritage would be taught here and there would be young teachers and rabbis to carry on their tradition. We started with seven students. We taught Ladino, Arabic and other disciplines. I conducted that for about 16 years until I gave it over to Rabbi Mitchel Serels ’70R, who had been my assistant director of Sephardic Community Programs.

From 1964 to 1973 I also served as Director of rabbinic placement and placed 400 rabbis in pulpits all over North America. All this took place while I developed and managed my Sephardic program. In 1973, I was invited to become the Executive Assistant to President Belkin. I served in that capacity for the last years of his life. My services were continued into the Lamm Administration when Dr. Norman Lamm assumed the presidency; I eventually became the Vice President of University Affairs in 1980 when I received my doctorate.

My career ventured into fundraising simply because I had to raise money for everything I did. For Camp Morasha, we needed $150,000 in notes every 3 months to be signed. The camp was not owned by YU, but was funded and staffed from YU. I had to run around to find notes. One day, my former roommate, Rabbi Jerome Lipsitz, now a rabbi in Lebanon, PA, saw me shnorring $3,000 worth of paint for Camp Morasha. “This isn’t nice,” he told me, ‘for a rabbi to schnorr paint for a camp.’ Eventually, Rabbi Lipsitz helped us raise $50,000 from a man in Lebanon who sought to name the camp in honor of a loved one for whom he was saying kaddish. Although we needed $150,000, Mr. Hyman Caplan from Lebanon agreed to name the Dining Room. I drew up the proposal – I had never done that in my life. It ended up that the dining room cost us $140K. We opened the camp with 500 kids. The Caplan gift was my initial foray into major gift giving. He gave me $50,000 in one check to name the Dining Room in memory of his father. I later used my new found talent to build the Sephardic Program which was funded with a $15,000 gift from the late revered Ivan Salomon z’h.

So I became a fundraiser by default, due to my success with the Sephardic program and its fundraising needs. During Dr. Lamm’s presidency, the financial crisis was very severe, he appointed me to work with the Development Office where I assumed leadership in 1980 after becoming Vice President for University Affairs.

CHAVRUSA: Do you see your work in development as a rabbonus?

RDHD: In the same way that its absolutely essential for a rabbi to develop personal relationships with his constituency and to become involved with them and their family events – as well as to be concerned with their well being – that same requirement pertains to a successful fundraiser, who represents
an institution that reflects the Torah values which are germane to synagogue life as to the field of Jewish education. The basic principle in raising funds is the recognition that ‘people give to people,’ more than people give to institutions. However, when the person involved in fundraising is the product of an institution that espouses the time hallowed values of our Torah heritage – such as RIETS or Yeshiva College – that demonstrates the role of Yeshiva University, in this case in serving the needs of the Jewish community at large as well as humanity, then the meaningfulness of the relationship of the donor to the representative of the institution seeking funds for its programs, becomes all the more significant. I often use the phrase in representing YU that “I am not the salesman,” but that rather, ‘I am the product.’

In this manner, I am conveying to the prospective donor that I am as much in the service of HASHEM in my role in seeking funds to sustain the institution, as if I had been a teacher in the classroom, conveying Torah values to prospective future Jewish leaders, or training them in the practical aspects of the rabbinate, as I have done through the years in developing the Supplemental Rabbinc Training Program - which later gave rise to the 4th year of semikhah, built on the notion that half that year would be spent in shumish in the rabbinate or chinuch.

Once when I was together with my Rebbe in Montreal, the late Rabbi Pinchus Hirschsprung zt'l, at a YU dinner there, (and he attended every such dinner) I asked his advice regarding the sacrifice to my learning that ultimately resulted in my full time 24/7 toil on behalf of the Yeshiva. Rabbi Hirschsprung – with whom I studied 3 hours a day, 5 days a week, for at least 5 summers while on vacation from YU – told me that my work is avodat hakodesh in order to enable others to learn and was regarded as if I was spending 24 hours a day studying Torah myself. He suggested that I should, of course, devote what time I could find to learning, but that I should not carry that concern as a burden of guilt – which I often felt. This was, of course, a message of chizuk to me. Upon further reflection, I realized that by enabling others to learn and was regarded as if I was the salesman, “but that rather, ‘I am the product.’”

I could never do this kind of work. ‘That was before I asked him, ‘That’s the way you dropek him off at the Jewish Center, he asked, ‘Rabbi Malam’.

The term “fund-raising,” not only causes anxiety and resistance amongst one’s congregants, but often is an area of great discomfort for a synagogue Rabbi. However, rather than diminish a Rabbi’s role, or jeopardize the relationship of a Rabbi with his Baalei Batim, the ability for a rabbi to develop, market and actualize a fund raising plan for the growth of one’s synagogue’s programming and/or facility can create the excitement and energy that helps a Rabbi achieve the spiritual goals he has for his community.

Below are some principles to guide a fund raising campaign. YU stands behind you and members of our Institutional Advancement and Alumni Departments are available to guide you and meet with your lay leadership to help you achieve your goals.

- Listening is more important than talking. Speaking to one’s congregants before a campaign is created and announced, finding out their hopes and dreams for the community and wherever possible incorporating those goals in the campaign creates “ownership” by the potential donors. Once they feel that they are a partner in the creation of the plan, they are more likely to become a financial partner in the actualization of the plan.

- Discover what motivates the potential donor to give. Some people search for Kavod, some people run away from it. Do not try and change their feelings, rather respond to them and craft a proposal with those feelings in mind. Holocaust survivors who do not have Kever Avos to visit often desire naming opportunities for buildings, younger donors are more concerned with the impact of their philanthropy.

- Create a real business plan. Donors are investors. They want to know how their gift will be managed and that there is a long term plan for a project, so that they feel that their donation will be well spent and that they will have the maximum “return” for their Tzedakah dollar.

- Don’t be afraid to ask for what is needed, and be able to defend one’s proposal. One donor told us, “sometimes people ask me for too little, and I never argue with them.” Try to determine the person’s capabilities based on history, and recent life and business events. If one secures a gift one cannot return to that donor and say that he forgot to include a particular expense for that project. We have only one chance to solicit someone for a particular gift.

- Following a successful solicitation, don’t forget to say thank you. Written letters are most appropriate, emails or a verbal thank you is inadequate. Keep a donor involved with updates, invitations for events, relevant photographs or testimonial letters from people impacted by their gift following a successful solicitation. What happens after a gift is confirmed is as important if not more important than what happens before. It is easier to secure a second gift from one donor than a first gift from a new donor, provided that we properly take care of our donors.

Rabbi Richard Bieler ’78R serves as Senior Executive Director of Community Affairs. His resume includes more than 20 years of experience in development and outreach to Jewish communities. Prior to returning to Yeshiva, Rabbi Bieler spent 14 years as the director of development for OHEL Children’s Home and Family Services, Bais Ezra, and Lifetime Care Foundation for the Jewish Disabled. He has also directed the national fundraising campaign of the Union of Orthodox Jewish Congregations of America, and has directed special projects here at Yeshiva, including the centennial celebrations in 1986. He also served as the Rabbi of The Orthodox Congregation Shaarei Tefillah, in Saratoga Springs, NY.
I’M A PROFESSIONAL BA’AL CHESSED

RABBI JOSHUA LOOKSTEIN

CHAVRUSA: What is the nature of your current position?

RJL: I’m the Executive Director of the S. Daniel Abraham Foundation. In that capacity I do several things, which fall under three general categories. First, I screen all of our grant requests for funds from the S. Daniel Abraham Foundation. As a fundraiser, I suggest projects to the philanthropist who does not have someone helping him with fund raising. I recommend finding a philanthropist who does not have someone helping him making funding decisions. I met Danny Abraham twice before and he did not have anyone working with him. I met him a third time and asked him for a job. He asked me, “What do you want to do?” I said, “I want to work for a foundation; analyze the needs of the community and think creatively and proactively about how to address those needs.” He said, “Great! I need someone to do that!” A few minutes and a few weeks later, I was executive director of the S. Daniel Abraham Foundation. I didn’t know how my experience would translate into foundation work. First of all, to a certain extent, KJ and RAMAZ are a mini-foundation in the sense that organizations are constantly trying to gain access to the Upper East Side. Unbeknownst to me at the time, I was screening organizations while I was commuting to Palm Beach on a weekly basis where Danny works. Second, I make recommendations to Danny based on the information I have. Third, I follow up with the organizations we fund, to assure compliance and to add knowledge I may have in their fields, so they can be even more successful. We fund health care, Middle East peace initiatives and Jewish people-hood.

CHAVRUSA: How did you go from the pulpits at Kehilath Jeshurun and the classroom at Ramaz, to the world of philanthropy?

RJL: When I left the rabbinate, I thought that I wanted to go into the for-profit world. The more I thought about it, the more I realized that I would not be happy unless I was in the Jewish world. When I picked up the phone to call ESPN to get an internship, there, I couldn’t dial. It wasn’t because I was afraid; it’s because I grew up in a home that was 24-7 about the Jewish community. Both my father and mother! Not 24-6, not 24-5, but 24-7. When it came down to it, I couldn’t leave the Jewish community. The same way that I called my father ten times a day seeking his advice every hour when I was working at KJ/RAMAZ, so too, I find myself constantly either calling him for advice or channeling him in my current work. I often ask myself, “What would my father do in this situation?” It’s less frequently than before, but it’s my most common thought. I miss the interaction with people, specifically children. It’s not easy to go into a school and meet with the principal, when I’d rather be hanging out with the kids.

I was offered fund-raising jobs for specific organizations. I didn’t really feel passionate for any of those. So I decided that I wanted to go into foundation work. I got advice from Arthur Fried of the Avi Chai Foundation as to how to blaze into the world of foundations. He recommended finding a philanthropist who did not have someone helping him making funding decisions. I met Danny Abraham twice before and he did not have anyone working with him. I met him a third time and asked him for a job. He asked me, “What do you want to do?” I said, “I want to work for a foundation; analyze the needs of the community and think creatively and proactively about how to address those needs.” He said, “Great! I need someone to do that!” A few minutes and a few weeks later, I was executive director of the S. Daniel Abraham Foundation.

I didn’t know how my experience would translate into foundation work. First of all, to a certain extent, KJ and RAMAZ are a mini-foundation in the sense that organizations are constantly trying to gain access to the Upper East Side. Unbeknownst to me at the time, I was screening organizations while I was at KJ/Ramaz. Second, the S. Daniel Abraham Foundation funds Jewish education. I am constantly using my classroom experience and family devotion to education to inform my opinions on schools and ideas. Third, we also fund synagogues. There’s always a part of the analysis that tries to answer the question: What is this synagogue doing for its community. A large percentage of my time goes towards Jewish educational and communal initiatives. We’ve been involved with building a high school in West Palm Beach, where Mr. Abraham resides. The people there roll their eyes every time I say, “Well, at RAMAZ, we…” I help out with the health care and Middle East but my primary role is with the Jewish people-hood portion.

In addition to my specific foundation work, I’m also an assistant to Danny Abraham for his various interests. He built a synagogue in Palm Beach, so I’m the de facto coordinator of the shul. In that role, I have a rabbinic role on various Shabbatot;
I think that the most appropriate historical recall and tribute I could pay to Rabbi Dr. Norman Lamm, with whom I’ve had the privilege of serving as Menahel of the Yeshiva for more than a quarter of a century – a period of unparalleled transformation and growth - would be to put into writing what I said at the special testimonial tendered in his honor in 2001 marking this personal landmark occasion of his.

When we pay tribute to our illustrious Rosh Hayshiva and Nasi, Dr. Norman Lamm, upon completion of a quarter of a century of unmatched Torah leadership, it has been given to me the honor to speak on behalf of our Roshei Yeshiva - who are the beating heart and soul of our Yeshiva, an incomparable array who, in the aggregate, constitute a faculty unsurpassed, anywhere in the world - to give fitting expression of our appreciation and, indeed, reverence for Dr. Lamm.

When Dr. Lamm came to Yeshiva, he was shortly met with near financial catastrophe. The Cassandras were in the overwhelming majority. But, Dr. Lamm could not sign the papers that would have condemned Yeshiva to bankruptcy. With pen in hand, his fingers froze by what they were asked and expected to do. In action and word, he shouted out for all the world to hear, in the words of Caleb in this week’s Torah reading [of that week at that time] of Shlach L’cha, we shall overcome, yachol nuchal lai.

It is a well known story, and during his watch, Yeshiva has risen, almost miraculously, out of imminent economic ruin onto the firm ground of security and stability. But, I submit to you that while this is no mean achievement, and would edify the biography of any leader, it is not, by a long shot, the achievement for which he is being honored tonight and by which he will be primarily remembered.

He will also be remembered for giving untrammeled voice to what makes us special and different. The Talmud tells us (Shabbat 31b) “Rabbi Yochanan said, in the name of Rabbi Elazar: the Holy One, Blessed Be He, has naught in this world save for the fear of Heaven,” as we find (Devarim 10:12) “And now, Israel, what does the L-rd, your G-d require of you but to fear the L-rd, your G-d.” And, it is written in Job (28:28), hen yirat HASHEM hi chochmah, “it is the one – the fear of the L-rd – is wisdom.” For, in Greek, the word hen is one! It is quite astonishing that the rabbis would leave the ordinary Hebrew meaning of hen, ‘behold’ and, instead, impute to hen Greek provenance, in order to derive this absolutely fundamental perception of ‘the fear of the L-rd.’ To my mind, this hallowed notion, ‘The fear of the L-rd is wisdom,’ is deliberately prefaced with a Greek word for ‘one’ to tell us that wisdom, here, refers to the totality of wisdom, and not alone to the...
a close relationship with Rabbi Reiss. “Anyone who has worked with the Beth Din of America knows of Rabbi Reiss’ integrity and great capacity. I look forward to a special partnership in moving the community agenda forward.”

Rabbi Reiss has been director of the Beth Din of America since 1998. The Beth Din, which was founded by and is affiliated with the Rabbinical Council of America (RCA) and sponsored by the Union of Orthodox Jewish Congregations of America (OU), is the largest rabbinical court in the country. It handles over 500 cases a year in the areas of Jewish divorce, commercial arbitrations, and mediation.

In his directorship of the Beth Din, Rabbi Reiss has worked to resolve cases of agunot, chained women who cannot obtain a Jewish divorce, and popularize the use of the RCA pre-nuptial agreement as a protection against future agunah problems.

From 1992 to 1998, Rabbi Reiss worked as an associate at the international law firm of Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton in New York City. He maintained an association with the firm until 1999. He is a member of the New York Bar Association, a certified mediator for the City of New York court system and a member of the Family and Divorce Mediation Council for New York.

Rabbi Reiss serves on the editorial board of Tradition magazine. A frequent writer on a variety of topics relating to both Jewish and secular law, he has published widely in Jewish publications, as well as the New York Law Journal.

Rabbi Reiss and his wife Mindy have five sons and live in Riverdale, NY.

CHAVRUSA HOPES TO CARRY AN IN-DEPTH INTERVIEW WITH RABBI REISS IN THE PESACH EDITION.

Musmakhim in the Limelight

I’m helping him hire a rabbi, helping him in programming, designing the service, and I teach periodically. In addition, he’s thinking about creating an institute of Jewish thought. I want to help him find the right person to run the institute. My degree from Revel (Medieval Jewish history) and my rabbinic training at RIETS is indispensable. It’s the well from which I always draw.

CHAVRUSA: Was it difficult leaving the Rabbinic Trainee Program?

RJL: The main difference between foundation work and rabbonus/chinuch is that foundation work, by definition, is indirect service, whereas rabbonus/chinuch is direct service. Rabbonus deals with the people and the foundations help the rabbis service the people; the Rebbe teaches and the foundation supports the education. Foundation work is support work. I miss that personal contact.

There are benefits to foundation work. First, I have the opportunity to think broadly and globally, not just locally. The challenge here is that global thinking is watered down as opposed to intense. Second, I’m involved with varied initiatives. On a given day, I can speak with President Richard Joel; President Yehudah Reinhartz of Brandeis; MKYitzhak Herzog; the Dean of the Harvard School of Education; Rabbi Simcha Scholar of Chai Lifeline; Dr. Kerry Olson at the Mayo Clinic; Dr. Drora Fraser, Director of the S. Daniel Abraham International Center for Health and Nutrition at Ben Gurion University, and a grandmother in Palm Beach.

Danny spends a significant part of his day talking theology, and Jewish community. Every component of my education is called into use during these conversations.

We fund the S. Daniel Abraham Stern Honors College, which gives Mr. Abraham tremendous pride. The S. Daniel Abraham Israel program which is a passion of both Danny’s and mine. All of these are an opportunity to use my upbringing and education as we sit and discuss the positives and negatives about the post-high school experience.

CHAVRUSA: Do you see your work as a form of Rabbonus?

RJL: When it comes down to it… most jobs are about people. Most of the people I interact with are Jews. Often, the lines are blurred between my roles as foundation director, pastoral rabbi, educator rabbi and institution builder rabbi. I also feel that in most of my interactions with the Jewish community, I am often the only Orthodox voice. This makes me constantly mindful that I am a representative. I’m sort of the face of Orthodoxy that a lot of the non-Orthodox world sees.

CHAVRUSA: Most people in the world of philanthropy are collecting. You are disbursing! You are the gabbai tzaddakah, not the m’shulach!

RJL: distributing is not as easy as people think it is. There is a lot of pressure to make the right decision with communal funds; I spend most of my time saying no to people. On the other hand, there is pressure involved with waking up every morning wondering where your next dollar will come from. The flip side is that there’s a wall, between myself and the organizations that we fund, that does not exist between the executive director of the organization and the organization. My job is the craziest job in the world. It’s from another planet – that someone would pay me to figure out how to help people. Interestingly, this is similar sentiment that I had when I was in rabbonus. In rabbonus and this job I spend every waking moment doing mitzvot and helping people. I would do this without being paid. Mr. Abraham wants people to work for him who would work for free – although he pays well. I felt this way in rabbonus as well. I’m getting paid to help people. I’m a professional baal chessed.

Continued from Page 18
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Amudei Hamusmakhim

Rabbinic Alumni would like to thank the following chaveirim who have contributed at the Amudei Hamusmakhim level towards their Rabbinic Alumni dues for 5768.

Rabbi Dr. Elie Abadie
Brooklyn, NY
Rabbi Everett S. Ackerman
Brooklyn, NY
Rabbi Hyman Arbesfeld
Kew Gardens, NY
Rabbi Abraham Avrech
Miami, FL
Rabbi Ari Berman
New York, NY
Rabbi Julius Berman
Forest Hills, NY
Rabbi Richard Bieler
West Hempstead, NY
Rabbi Marvin S. Bienenfeld
Long Beach, NY
Rabbi Irving Bodner
Lawrence, NY
Rabbi Alan Ciner
Palm Beach, FL
Rabbi Daniel Cohen
Stamford, CT
Rabbi Dr. Herbert C. Dobrinsky
Bronx, NY
Rabbi Michael Dubitsky
Phoenix, AZ
Rabbi Yehuda Eliezer Mosney, NY
Rabbi Zvi Engel
Fairfield, CT
Rabbi Reuven Escott
Bergenfield, NJ
Rabbi David Fine
Overland Park, KS
Rabbi Dov Fischer
Irvine, CA
Rabbi Jeffrey Frankel
New York, NY
Rabbi Armin H. Friedman
Jerusalem, Israel
Rabbi Isaac Forman
Cherry Hill, NJ
Rabbi Shmuel Goldin
Englewood, NJ
Rabbi Marvin Goldman
Liberty, NY
Rabbi Emanuel Holzer
Flushing, NY
Rabbi Joshua M. Joseph
Lawrence, NY
Rabbi Alan M. Kalinsky
Los Angeles, CA
Rabbi Marshall Korn
Flushing, NY
Rabbi Abraham J. Kramer
Englewood, NJ
Rabbi Daniel Kramer
Brooklyn, NY
Rabbi Meyer Kramer
Brooklyn, NY
Rabbi Elly Krisinsky
West Hempstead, NY
Rabbi Jonah Kupietzky
New York, NY
Rabbi Dr. Norman Lamm
New York, NY
Rabbi Steven Lauffer
Lawrence, NY
Rabbi Samuel J. Levine
Valley Village, CA
Rabbi Dr. Zalman Levine
Teaneck, NJ
Rabbi Arieh E. Listowsky
Flushing, NY
Rabbi Haskel Lookstein
New York, NY
Rabbi Asher Lopatin
Chicago, IL
Rabbi Jeffrey L. Muehligay
Clifton, NY
Rabbi Elazar R. Musklin
Los Angeles, CA
Rabbi Moshe Neiss
Riverdale, NY
Rabbi Irwin Peyser
Atlantic Beach, NY
Rabbi Steven Pruzansky
Teaneck, NJ
Rabbi Stanley J. Raskas
New Rochelle, NY
Rabbi Shlomo Riskin
Efrat, Israel
Rabbi Dr. Walter Rosenbaum
Paris, France
Rabbi Dr. Yosef Rosenstein
Brooklyn, NY
Rabbi Solomon F. Rybak
Passaic, NJ
Rabbi David Savitsky
New Rochelle, NY
Rabbi Fabian Schoenfeld
Flushing, NY
Rabbi Nathan N. Schorr
W. Palm Beach, FL
Rabbi Mark I. Schrepek
Lawrence, NY
Rabbi Joel M. Schreiber
New York, NY
Rabbi Seymour Schron
Brooklyn, NY
Rabbi Allen M. Schwartz
New York, NY
Rabbi Ronald L. Schwartzberg
Highland Park, NJ
Rabbi Robert P. Shechter
Passaic, NJ
Rabbi Gideon I. Shloush
New York, NY
Rabbi Solomon Shoulson
Brooklyn, NY
Rabbi Samuel Silber
Baltimore, MD
Rabbi Victor Martin Solomon
Teaneck, NJ
Rabbi Reuven Spoler
Oak Park, MI
Rabbi Lawrence J. Teitelman
New Hyde Park, NY
Rabbi Mark Eric Urkowitz
Houston, TX
Rabbi Marc Volk
Merrick, NY
Rabbi Lawrence S. Zierler
Teaneck, NJ

The Menahel’s Memories

Torah itself, but also to all other knowledge, which, in our tradition, was particularly identified with the Greeks. All knowledge has to be suffused with the Presence of the Awesome G-d – differently, perhaps, and surely not in the same way and degree, and always assuming the centrality of Torah and of the Beit Midrash. And this is the sine qua non of derision in those earlier years. His other works: “Hedge of Roses,” which helped to salvage taharat Chavrusa • Kislev 5768 • December 2007

...
Lifecycles

Books
Rabbi Shmuel Goldin ‘76R has recently published Unlocking the Torah Text, with his insights on Breishit. For further information, and to order, please click on http://www.israeldictionary.com/product.asp?productid=148

Rabbi Eliyahu Safran ‘78R has recently published, Sometimes You Are What You Wear: An Argument for Tzniut. Contact Rabbi Safran directly, e19486@aol.com, for special rates.

Mazal Tov
Rabbi Shimon ‘76R and Sharon (Marks) Altsul of Jerusalem, on the birth of two granddaughters in two days, Shiri Tehilah, to Ora and Naftali Derovan of Ramat Bet Shemesh; and Emunah, to Zahava and Aryeh Altsul of Givat Shmuel.
Rabbi Ezra ‘03R and Arielle Berehol on the birth of a son, Chaim Meir.
Rabbi Fabian ‘52R and Ruth Yakir Yehudah.
Rabbi Ariel ‘99R and Cheryl on their son, Matisyahu Yehuda.
Rabbi Joseph ‘52R and Ruth Kelman on the marriage of their son, Chaim Shlomo.
Rabbi Wesley ‘00R and Dr. Jessica Hochheimer on the birth of their son, Yaakov.
Rabbi Mordechai ‘05R and Shira Brejt.
Rabbi Ora and Naftali (Marks) Altshul of Jerusalem, on the birth of two granddaughters in two days, Shiri Tehilah, to Ora and Naftali Derovan of Ramat Bet Shemesh; and Emunah, to Zahava and Aryeh Altsul of Givat Shmuel.
Rabbi Ariel ‘06R and Rebecca Jakir Yehudah.
Rabbi Elliot ‘02R and Shoshana Moskowitz on the birth of their daughter, Aliza.
RIETS Student Dovid and Hava Preil on the birth of their son, Eliyzer Yehuda.
Rabbi Jeffrey ‘95R and Ilana Saks on the birth of their third child, Yair Aviezer, in Israel.
Rabbi Benjamin ‘96R and Stephanie Samuels on the birth of their son, Yeshaya.
Rabbi Fabian ‘52R and Ruth Schonfeld on the birth of their daughter, Esther Sarah.
Rabbi Ariel ‘06R and Rebecca Schochet on the birth of their daughter, Aliza.
Rabbi Evan ‘84R and Deborah Shore upon the marriage of their son, Ari to Devorah Abrams.
Rabbi Yigal (Wexner Kollel Elyon) and Tami Sklarin on the birth of their daughter, Yakir Yehudah.
Rabbi Yigal (Wexner Kollel Elyon) and Tami Sklarin on the birth of their daughter, Yakir Yehudah.
Rabbi Rafi (Wexner Kollel Elyon) and Rebecca Rosenbloom and on birth of their son, Yaakov Chanoch.
RIETS Student Elimelech & Chaya Rosenthal on the birth of their son, Aryeh Leib.
Rabbi Barry ‘97R and Gabi Gelman on the birth of their son, Yosef Dov.
Rabbi Yaakov ‘73R and Abby Lerner on the birth of their granddaughter, Shoshana Tzvia, born to Hudi and Nachman Elsant.
Rabbi Yaakov ‘73R and Abby Lerner on the birth of their granddaughter, Shoshana Tzvia, born to Hudi and Nachman Elsant.
Rabbi Evan ‘84R and Deborah Shore upon the marriage of their son, Ari to Devorah Abrams.
Rabbi Michael G. ‘76R on the birth of their son, Eliezer.
Rabbi Reuven Bulka, Michie Gorman and Leah Bulka on the loss of their father, Rabbi Dr. Noah Rosenbloom ‘42R.

Mae Chait on the loss of her husband, Rabbi Leon Chait, a long-time rebbe at YUHSBM.
Rabbi Maurice ‘54R and Shirley Lamm on the passing of their daughter, Rebbetzin Judy Lamm Young. Also to Rabbi haYaEshiva Rabbi Dr. Norman ‘51R and Mindy Lamm on the loss of their neice.
Rabbi Myron ‘57R on the loss of his sister, Mrs. Florence Roth
Rabbi Charles Sheer ‘67R on the loss of his mother, Trudy M. Sheer.
Rabbi Kenneth Zisook ‘78R on the loss of his father, Cantor Seymour Zisook.

The Yeshiva University Community mourns the loss of Rebbitzen Sifra Tendler z’l

Hundreds of mourners gathered at the Community Synagogue of Monsey on Thursday, October 11 to bid a kavod acharon to Rebbitzen Sifra Tendler, a daughter of the late Rav Moshe Feinstein z’l, long time Rebbetzin of the synagogue, and wife for 59 years to Rabbi Dr. Moshe D. Tendler ‘48R, RIETS Rabbi Yona Reiss ‘91R, incoming Dean of RIETS, knew Rebbitzen Tendler growing up in Monsey. He commented that “Rebbitzen Sifra Tendler z”l was a regal woman, a lady of majesty, grace and charm who radiated light, joy and the dignity of Torah. As a child growing up in the Monsey community, I was continuously strengthened and inspired by her wise words and uplifting smile. When I was ill at the age of 10, she came to visit me at home and gave me a board game that my children continue to play to this day. As a regular ba’al kriah in the shul, I always looked forward to Rebbitzen Tendler’s commendations and constructive comments. After I got married, my wife forged a bond of affection with her that grew stronger over the years. To our relief, the Rebbitzen had only kind words for our boisterous boys when they ran around in the shul during our frequent visits. Even in her final year, she was a source of comfort for my family as she came to visit my ailing father z”l and to provide words of encouragement. At her levaya in the rain, I remember thinking that it was appropriate that the sun had vanished that afternoon. Figuratively speaking, a ray of sunshine had disappeared from our midst. She will be sorely missed.”

Rabbi Dr. Samantha ‘51R and Mindy Lamm on the loss of their neice.
Rabbi Myron ‘57R on the loss of his sister, Mrs. Florence Roth
Rabbi Charles Sheer ‘67R on the loss of his mother, Trudy M. Sheer.
Rabbi Kenneth Zisook ‘78R on the loss of his father, Cantor Seymour Zisook.

Rabbi Rabiya (Wexner Kollel Elyon) and Rebecca Rosenbloom and on birth of their son, Yaakov Chanoch.
RIETS Student Elimelech & Chaya Rosenthal on the birth of their son, Aryeh Leib.
Rabbi Michael G. ‘76R on the birth of their son, Eliezer.
Rabbi Reuven Bulka, Michie Gorman and Leah Bulka on the loss of their father, Rabbi Dr. Noah Rosenbloom ‘42R.

Mae Chait on the loss of her husband, Rabbi Leon Chait, a long-time rebbe at YUHSBM.
Rabbi Maurice ‘54R and Shirley Lamm on the passing of their daughter, Rebbetzin Judy Lamm Young. Also to Rabbi haYaEshiva Rabbi Dr. Norman ‘51R and Mindy Lamm on the loss of their neice.
Rabbi Myron ‘57R on the loss of his sister, Mrs. Florence Roth
Rabbi Charles Sheer ‘67R on the loss of his mother, Trudy M. Sheer.
Rabbi Kenneth Zisook ‘78R on the loss of his father, Cantor Seymour Zisook.

Rosh Yeshiva Rabbi Moshe Tendler ‘48R on the loss of his wife, Rebbetzin Sifra Tendler.

Rabbi Rabiya (Wexner Kollel Elyon) and Rebecca Rosenbloom and on birth of their son, Yaakov Chanoch.
RIETS Student Elimelech & Chaya Rosenthal on the birth of their son, Aryeh Leib.
Rabbi Michael G. ‘76R on the birth of their son, Eliezer.
Rabbi Reuven Bulka, Michie Gorman and Leah Bulka on the loss of their father, Rabbi Dr. Noah Rosenbloom ‘42R.

Mae Chait on the loss of her husband, Rabbi Leon Chait, a long-time rebbe at YUHSBM.
Rabbi Maurice ‘54R and Shirley Lamm on the passing of their daughter, Rebbetzin Judy Lamm Young. Also to Rabbi haYaEshiva Rabbi Dr. Norman ‘51R and Mindy Lamm on the loss of their neice.
Rabbi Myron ‘57R on the loss of his sister, Mrs. Florence Roth
Rabbi Charles Sheer ‘67R on the loss of his mother, Trudy M. Sheer.
Rabbi Kenneth Zisook ‘78R on the loss of his father, Cantor Seymour Zisook.

Rosh Yeshiva Rabbi Moshe Tendler ‘48R on the loss of his wife, Rebbetzin Sifra Tendler.

Rabbi Rabiya (Wexner Kollel Elyon) and Rebecca Rosenbloom and on birth of their son, Yaakov Chanoch.
RIETS Student Elimelech & Chaya Rosenthal on the birth of their son, Aryeh Leib.
Rabbi Michael G. ‘76R on the birth of their son, Eliezer.
Rabbi Reuven Bulka, Michie Gorman and Leah Bulka on the loss of their father, Rabbi Dr. Noah Rosenbloom ‘42R.
The Yeshiva University Community mourns the loss of Rabbi Shimon Eider z’l

On the second day of Sukkot, The Torah world lost Rabbi Shimon Eider, posek and author of note. Although spending the majority of his life in Lakewood, NJ where he studied under Rav Aharon Kotler z’tl, he began his academic career at Yeshiva University’s BTA high school in Brooklyn and then graduated from Yeshiva College with a degree in psychology in 1960. His classmates remember him as a masmid with a gift for explaining complicated concepts in simple language. Rabbi Hershel Schachter, Nathan and Vivian Fink Distinguished Professor of Talmud recalled how Rabbi Eider began his literary career by disseminating in writing the shiurim of his Rebbe at Yeshiva, Rav Wolk z’tl. Rabbi Yosef Blau, RIETS Mashgiach Ruchani remembers Rabbi Eider from BTA. “He initiated the mishmar program while still a high school sophomore. Since BTA was a commuter school (i.e. no dormitory facilities), hosting a mishmar program entailed organizing sup-
denied, nor was embarrassed about his YU associations. For many years I would help arrange for him to come to Yeshiva to sell his seforim.” Rabbi Mordechai Zeitz, Rabbi of Congregation Beth Tikvah in Montreal remembered his classmate as a serious learner, but always friendly and approachable. “Next thing I knew I was reading his seforim explaining hilchos Shabbos and Eruvin.” Another classmate, Rabbi Gerald Blidstein, occupant of the Miriam Blaidstein Chair in Jewish Law at Ben Gurion University, characterized Rabbi Eider as an honest person who understood that there were no short-cuts to knowledge, except for hard work and diligence. “I remember the mimeographed pamphlets he wrote on dinei eruv—very plain, proclaiming: here is true content—everything else is glitter.”

Rabbi Daniel Alter, Head of School at the Denver Academy of Torah (DAT) and Rabbi of the DAT minyan, met Rabbi Eider when he consulted on the Denver eruv. “He was a kind and gentle man, who left a positive impression on everyone he met. In our interactions together, due to the nature of our eruv work, we would often come in contact with all types of people, observer, non-observer, Jewish, and not Jewish. He was able to explain eruvin issues clearly and sensitively to all those involved. He was extremely accessible. We would call him often from Denver with shaylas, and he always made himself available and was extremely responsive.”

The Yeshiva University Community mourns the loss of Rabbi Shimon Eider z’l

The Global Jewish Database (The Responsa Project) at Bar-Ilan University is now available to our alumni. The largest database of its kind, this database includes the full text of Tanach and its principal commentaries, the Talmud Bavli with Rashi and Tosafot, the Talmud Yerushalmi, the Rambam’s Mishne Torah, Shulchan Aruch with commentaries, Midrashim, hundreds of Shailot U’Teshuvot, and the Talmudic Encyclopedia, representing a period of over three thousand years of Jewish literary creativity. To access the Responsa Project you will need a personal logon, which you receive by emailing alumni@yu.edu with your full name, e-mail address and graduation date. Then logon to http://www.yu.edu/libraryalumniportal to logon and access the Bar Ilan Responsa project, and a host of other resources including Otzar HaHochma, which features the full text of almost 20,000 Sefarim.

The Resource and Research Center provides individual assistance in researching issues of Jewish law and thought. Rabbi Daniel Z. Feldman, Director of Rabbinic Research, will respond to inquiries concerning the above fields to assist you in preparing shiurim or researching halachic issues of interest and importance to you. This service is part of our Legacy Heritage Fund Rabbinic Enrichment Initiative, generously sponsored by the Legacy Heritage Fund Limited. Rabbi Feldman can be reached at: RabbinicResource@yu.edu.

Do you have a shayla you would like addressed to one of the RIETS Roshei Yeshiva? If you e-mail RabbinicConsult@yu.edu, your shayla will be delivered in a timely fashion to be answered by one of our Roshei Yeshiva. A response will be provided within one week. A Shayla can be kept anonymous and will never be discussed with anyone but the Roshei Yeshiva to whom the question is posed.

More and more people are raving about www.YUTORAH.org, the Marcos and Adina Katz YU Torah Online. Search through thousands of written, audio, and video shiurim from the RIETS Roshei Yeshiva and faculty of the past 100 years.

The following RIETS classes are open to Rabbinic Alumni

- Pastoral Psychology – Drs. David Pelcovitz/Norman Blumenthal – Friday mornings, 9:00 am
- Rabbinic Practicum – Taught by Roshei Yeshiva & Shul Rabbiannim – Thursday Afternoons 12:05 pm
- Contemporary Halacha – Rabbi Ezra Schwartz – Thursday afternoons, 1:00 pm
- Fourth Year Halacha L’maseh – Rabbi Daniel Stein – Thursday afternoons, 2:00 pm
- Advanced Counseling – Dr. Pelcovitz – Wednesday afternoons at noon.
- Speech Seminar – one of the biggest and most welcome additions to the RIETS curriculum is a strong stress on speech classes, taught by experts in the field. Based upon sufficient interest, RIETS could organize such a speech classes for Rabbinic Alumni for a nominal fee. Size would be limited to ten students per class. Please contact Rabbi Marc Penner at penner@yu.edu if you would be interested in attending.
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